| Consultation point: | Foreward |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Representation ID: | 24141 | Comment Type: | Object |
| Respondent: | 1438 | Agent: |  |

## Summary:

I object to the development proposal IL1/H (Ben Rhydding).
The development is on Green Belt Land (itself objectionable) and, along with the Wheatley Lane/Coutances Road development, would destroy the nature of the area; the many brownfield sites in Bradford are more appropriate for the demographic and sustainability; the density would be an eyesore from such as the popular touristic Cow and Calf beauty spot; the compensation for eating into Green Belt could not be undertaken from the Ilkley area; the site is part conservation area.

The development sustainability seriously impinges on ALL the council's six rules for Approval. Its density will create further chaos under the single lane railway bridge on Wheatley Lane, and especially on and for A65 accessability.

The land is a local haven for wildlife, supporting and protecting many species.
The council acknowledges many reasons for not allowing this development but appears to ignore its own advice.

## Full Submission:

I object to the development proposal IL1/H (Ben Rhydding).
The development is on Green Belt Land (itself objectionable) and, along with the Wheatley Lane/Coutances Road development, would destroy the nature of the area; the many brownfield sites in Bradford are more appropriate for the demographic and sustainability; the density would be an eyesore from such as the popular touristic Cow and Calf beauty spot; the compensation for eating into Green Belt could not be undertaken from the Ilkley area; the site is part conservation area.

The development sustainability seriously impinges on ALL the council's six rules for Approval. Its density will create further chaos under the single lane railway bridge on Wheatley Lane, and especially on and for A65 accessability.

The land is a local haven for wildlife, supporting and protecting many species.
The council acknowledges many reasons for not allowing this development but appears to ignore its own advice.

